FILED May 3, 2018 INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

## STATE OF INDIANA

### INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

**VERIFIED PETITION OF NORTHERN INDIANA**) PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY LLC FOR (1) ) APPROVAL OF AN ADJUSTMENT TO ITS GAS ) SERVICE RATES THROUGH ITS TRANSMISSION. DISTRIBUTION, AND STORAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT CHARGE ("TDSIC") RATE SCHEDULE; (2) AUTHORITY ) **TO DEFER 20% OF THE APPROVED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AND TDSIC COSTS FOR )** RECOVERY **PETITIONER'S** IN NEXT ) GENERAL RATE CASE; AND (3) APPROVAL OF ) PETITIONER'S UPDATED 7-YEAR GAS PLAN, INCLUDING ACTUAL AND PROPOSED ESTIMATED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AND **TDSIC COSTS THAT EXCEED THE APPROVED** ) AMOUNTS IN CAUSE NO. 44403-TDSIC-7, ALL ) PURSUANT TO IND. CODE CH. 8-1-39-9. )

**CAUSE NO. 44403 TDSIC-008** 

## INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR

### **PUBLIC'S EXHIBIT NO. 2**

## REVISED PUBLIC (REDACTED) TESTIMONY OF OUCC WITNESS LEON A. GOLDEN

May 3, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey M. Reed, Attorney No. 11651-49

Deputy Consumer Counselor

Randall C. Helmen, Attorney. No. 8275-49 Chief Deputy Consumer Counselor

Public's Exhibit No. 2 Cause No. 44403 TDSIC-8 Page 6 of 11

Project Year	Project ID	Project Title	Approved Project Cost (TDSIC7-G)	Updated Project Cost (TDSIC8-G)	Variance (%)
2017	TP1	State Line to Highland Transmission Project			7.7
2017	TP2	22" From Aetna to US 35 - LaPorte		, i	1.1
2017	IM24-DIM3	Corrosion Rectifiers Install/Replace			25.4
2017	TP9	16" Aetna to Tassinong			N/A
2017	SD14	Engineering Capital Projects			38.1
2018	ILI3	ILI Modifications – 24" Royal Center to Laketon			N/A

## **Transmission System Projects (Table 1)**

2 3	Q:	Are there any project estimate updates listed in Table 1 to which the OUCC
3		objects?
4	A:	No. NIPSCO has adequately explained the cost increases for all of the six projects
5		listed in Table 1. The OUCC does not object to updated cost estimates for these six
6		projects:
7		[Project ID: TP1] State Line to Highland Transmission Project
8		This project shows an increase of \$404,519 or 7.7% due to difficulties with pipeline
9		tapping, the installation of a complete grounding system, and site restoration costs.
10		In TDSIC-7, NIPSCO experienced problems with valves that required the
11		installation of two stopples. <sup>9</sup> The problems experienced during the TDSIC-7 also
12		affected the project as it was completed; specifically, problems during tapping. Mr.
13		Bull testified that tapping procedures that typically take 3-8 hours to perform took
14		significantly longer on this project, up to 18 hours for one tap. <sup>10</sup> Poor pipe condition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> A stopple is a piece of equipment used to temporarily stop the flow of gas in an active pipeline to allow for tie-ins or maintenance activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit No. 3, page 49, lines 10 - 15.

can require additional pipe replacement and fittings in the tap area, and it is
reasonable that these risks would not have been known until construction activities
had begun. The main drivers for increases of \$ \_\_\_\_\_\_ for the tapping costs were
attributable to labor and associated extended equipment rental.<sup>11</sup>

An analysis of the Highland Junction site indicated that a complete grounding system would be required as a result of updated safety standards because the Highland Junction site contains both electric and natural gas facilities. In addition, restoration costs increased as a result of curbing requirements and the need to plant trees near the parking lot of an impacted hospital. The increase in actual costs associated with the grounding system and restoration costs are **\$11 \$12** 

## [Project ID: TP2] 22" From Aetna to US 35 - LaPorte

This project shows a 2017 increase of \$ 13 or 1.1% for several reasons. First 14 there were complications with the bore. After hitting rock, the bore head broke off, 15 resulting in delays completing the bore. While I confirmed NIPSCO is not seeking to recover costs of the contractor's damaged equipment, there were reasonably 16 17 unanticipated costs associated with the delay; specifically labor and equipment 18 rental. Second, the Bailey regulator station had a design change in order to 19 accommodate an unavailable easement at the proposed site. Third, after excavation 20 was started for the Michigan City regulator station, it was discovered that there would be interferences between the station foundation and an existing 22" line. This 21

12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Confidential Exhibit Gas Plan Update-8, Confidential Appendix 4, page 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Confidential Exhibit Gas Plan Update-8, Confidential Appendix 4, page 2.

Public's Exhibit No. 2 Cause No. 44403 TDSIC-8 Page 9 of 11

L

1	estimate for engineering of system deliverability projects was \$
2	That has now increased to \$ To prevent construction delays, NIPSCO
3	started land acquisition processes on the Wheatfield project. In addition,
4	engineering, land surveying, and environmental permitting process were started in
5	2017 for the Churubusco project in order to avoid project delays when the project
6	begins in 2019. <sup>14</sup>
7	
7	[Project ID: ILI3] ILI Modifications – 24" Royal Center to Laketon
8	The increase for this project is related to the movement of work from 2017 into
8	The increase for this project is related to the movement of work from 2017 into
8	The increase for this project is related to the movement of work from 2017 into 2018. NIPSCO has carried over \$120,000 into 2018 to complete work that could
8 9 10	The increase for this project is related to the movement of work from 2017 into 2018. NIPSCO has carried over \$120,000 into 2018 to complete work that could not be completed in 2017 due to inclement weather. The overall project is now

## **III. DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM INVESTMENTS**

# 14Q:In your analysis of NIPSCO's TDSIC Plan Update, are there any Distribution15System Investment projects that have increased by at least \$100,000 or 20%?

\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Confidential Exhibit Gas Plan Update-8, Confidential Appendix 4, page 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit No. 3, page 51, lines 13 - 19.

Public's Exhibit No. 2 Cause No. 44403 TDSIC-8 Page 10 of 11

A: Yes. One Distribution System Improvement project shows an increase of 20% or
\$100,000 over that approved in NIPSCO's TDSIC-7 Plan Update.<sup>16</sup> The project
[Project ID: RE1] *Rural Extensions* saw an increase of 26.7% in 2017 actual costs
with actual costs of \$20,781,764, versus an approved TDSIC-7 amount of
\$

Please describe your analysis of the [Project ID: RE1] Rural Extensions 6 **Q**: 7 project. NIPSCO cites greater than anticipated customer demand for increases to this 8 A: project. NIPSCO's New Business department forecast the number of connections 9 expected to be made based on previous customer connections, planned marketing, 10 and anticipated availability of new main.<sup>17</sup> It had originally estimated 2,955 11 12 services for 2017 and completed a total of 3,193. In addition, nearly twenty miles 13 of total main installed to serve these rural extensions required greater than the typical 2" plastic main.<sup>18</sup> My analysis of this project included review of four PCRs, 14 each citing greater than anticipated demand as the justification for the requested 15 increase in project funds.<sup>19</sup> There were several large rural main extension projects 16 that were constructed based on customer demand.<sup>20</sup> NIPSCO verifies natural gas 17 demand of potential commercial customers before constructing rural main 18 extensions to determine adequate pipe size, based on the projected load.<sup>21</sup> 19

20 **Q**:

Does the OUCC object to the actual costs incurred on this project?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Confidential Exhibit Gas Plan Update-8 (Confidential), pages 3 – 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit No. 3, page 27, lines 4 - 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit No. 3, page 50, lines 14 - 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Confidential Exhibit Gas Plan Update-8, Confidential Appendix 4, pages 31 – 34.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit No. 3, page 50, lines 18 - 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Petitioner's Confidential Exhibit No. 3, page 29, lines 8 - 13.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing Indiana Office of Utility Consumer Counselor

Revised Public's Exhibit No. 2 Public (Redacted) Testimony of OUCC Witness Leon A. Golden

has been served upon the following counsel of record in the captioned proceeding by electronic

service on May 3, 2018.

Christopher C. Earle Alison Becker **NISOURCE CORPORATE SERVICES – LEGAL** 150 W. Market Street, Suite 600 Indianapolis, IN 46204 <u>cearle@nisource.com</u> <u>abecker@nisource.com</u> Todd Richardson Aaron A. Schmoll **LEWIS & KAPPES, P.C.** One American Square, Suite 2500 Indianapolis, IN 46282-0003 <u>trichardson@lewis-kappes.com</u> <u>aschmoll@lewis-kappes.com</u>

Deputy Gonsumer Counselor

Randall C. Helmen, Attorney. No. 8275-49 Chief Deputy Consumer Counselor

INDIANA OFFICE OF UTILITY CONSUMER COUNSELOR 115 West Washington Street Suite 1500 South Indianapolis, IN 46204 <u>infomgt@oucc.in.gov</u> 317/232-2494 – Phone 317/232-5923 – Facsimile